## Nashoba Regional School District

Actuarial Valuation and Review of Other Postemployment
Benefits (OPEB) as of December 31, 2009
In Accordance with GASB Statements Number 43 and 45

Copyright © 2010
The Segal Group, Inc.,
the parent of The Segal Company
AlL RIGHTS RESERVED

## * SEGAL

THE SEGAL COMPANY
116 Huntington Ave., 8th Floor Boston, MA 02116
T 617.424.7300 F 617.424.7390 www.segalco.com

October 14, 2010

Mr. George P. King
Assistant Superintendent for Finance
Nashoba Regional School District
Central Office
50 Mechanic Street
Bolton, MA 01740

## Dear Mr. King:

We are pleased to submit this report on our actuarial valuation of postemployment welfare benefits as of December 31, 2009 under GASB Statements Number 43 and 45. It establishes the liabilities of the postemployment welfare benefit plan in accordance with GASB Statements Number 43 and 45 for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2010 and summarizes the actuarial data.

This report is based on information received from the Nashoba Regional School District. The actuarial projections were based on the assumptions and methods described in Exhibit II and on the plan of benefits as summarized in Exhibit III.

We look forward to discussing this material with you at your convenience.
Sincerely,

THE SEGAL COMPANY
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## PURPOSE

This report presents the results of our actuarial valuation of the Nashoba Regional School District (the "Employer") postemployment welfare benefit plan as of December 31, 2009. The results are in accordance with the Governmental Accounting Standards, which prescribe an accrual methodology for accumulating the value of other postemployment benefits (OPEB) over participants' active working lifetimes. The accounting standard supplements cash accounting, under which the expense for postemployment benefits is equal to benefit and administrative costs paid on behalf of retirees and their dependents (i.e., a pay-as-you-go basis).

## HIGHLIGHTS OF THE VALUATION

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010, we project the School District will pay benefits (net of retiree contributions) on behalf of retired employees of about $\$ 1,042,000$. This amount is less than the annual "cost" (the "Annual Required Contribution", or ARC) under the new accounting rules of approximately $\$ 6,353,000$.

The GASB statements provide the method for selecting the investment return assumption (discount rate). If the benefits are funded, the discount rate should be based on the estimated long-term investment yield on the investments expected to be used to finance the payment of benefits. If financing is on a pay-as-you-go basis, the discount rate should be based on the expected yield on the assets of the employer.
Because the benefits are not being funded, we have used a 5.0\% discount rate (referred to as the pay-as-you-go interest rate). For illustrative purposes, we have also shown what the obligations would be on a fully funded basis, assuming an interest rate of $8.5 \%$.

The GASB statements allow the use of one of six funding methods to determine the actuarial liabilities. We have used the projected unit credit cost method.
To determine the amortization payment on the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL), an amortization period and amortization method must be selected. We have used a 30 -year open amortization of the UAAL (the maximum permitted by the GASB statements), with payments increasing at $4.5 \%$ year. The GASB statements allow for either an open or closed amortization period. In open amortization, the period is reset to the initial value every year and the UAAL is reamortized, while under a closed amortization, the remaining period decreases and the UAAL is eventually "paid off."
If the benefits are funded in the future, assets set aside to fund OPEB liabilities would have to be held in a trust or equivalent arrangement, through which assets are accumulated and benefits are paid as they come due. Employer contributions to the trust will be irrevocable, trust assets will be dedicated to providing benefits to retirees and their spouses in accordance with the terms of the plan, and trust assets will be legally protected from creditors of the employer.
Employer decisions regarding plan design, cost sharing between the Employer and its retirees, actuarial cost method, amortization techniques, and integration with Medicare are just some of the decisions that affect the magnitude of OPEB obligations. We are available to assist you with any investigation of such options you may wish to undertake.
The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (HCERA) of 2010 creates a temporary reinsurance program for eligible health care coverage for pre-Medicare retirees
over age 55. The program will reimburse the plan sponsor for $80 \%$ of the retiree claims between $\$ 15,000$ and $\$ 90,000$. Due to the short nature of this program, the limited financing, and uncertainty of qualifying and receiving payment (the program will be on a first come first served basis until financing runs out), we have not reflected the value of this program in the valuation.

## ACCOUNTING REQUIREMENTS

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued Statement Number 43 -- Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, and Statement Number 45 - Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. Under these statements, all state and local governmental entities that provide other post employment benefits (OPEB) are required to report the cost of these benefits on their financial statements.
The statements cover postemployment benefits of health, prescription drug, dental, vision and life insurance coverage for retirees; long-term care coverage, life insurance and death benefits that are not offered as part of a pension plan; and long-term disability insurance for employees. These benefits, referred to as OPEB, are typically financed on a pay-as-yougo basis. The new standard introduces an accrual-basis accounting requirement; thereby recognizing the employer cost of postemployment benefits over an employee's career. The standards also introduce a consistent accounting requirement for both pension and non-pension benefits.
The total cost of providing postemployment benefits is projected, taking into account assumptions about demographics, turnover, mortality, disability, retirement, health care trends, and other actuarial assumptions. This amount is then discounted to determine the actuarial present value of the total projected benefits (APB). The actuarial accrued liability (AAL) is the portion of the present value of the total projected benefits allocated to years of employment prior to the measurement date. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is the difference between the AAL and actuarial value of assets in the Plan.

Once the UAAL is determined, the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) is determined as the normal cost (the APB allocated to the current year of service) and the amortization of the UAAL. This ARC is compared to actual contributions made and any difference is reported as the net OPEB obligation (NOO). In addition, required supplementary information (RSI) must be reported, including historical information about the UAAL and the progress in funding the Plan.
The benefits valued in this report are limited to those described in Exhibit III of Section 4.
The following graph illustrates why a significant accounting obligation may exist even though the retiree contributes most or all of the blended premium cost of the plan. The average cost for retirees is likely to exceed the average cost for the whole group, leading to an implicit subsidy for these retirees. The accounting standard requires the employer to identify and account for this implicit subsidy as well as any explicit subsidies the employer may provide.


This graph shows how the actuarial present value of the total projected benefits (APB) is broken down and allocated to various accounting periods.

The exact breakdown depends on the actuarial cost method and amortization methods selected by the employer.

GASB 43/45 Measurement

| Present Value of Future Benefits | Future Accounting Periods | Future Accruals (Actives) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current Period | Normal Cost (Actives) | Annual Required Contribution (ARC) |
|  | Historical Accounting Periods | Actuarial Accrued Liability (Actives + Retirees) |  |
| Net OPEB Obligation $=\mathrm{ARC}_{1}+\mathrm{ARC}_{2}+\mathrm{ARC}_{3}+\ldots . .$. |  |  |  |

Actuarial computations under GASB statements are for purposes of fulfilling certain welfare plan accounting requirements. The calculations shown in this report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of GASB. Determinations for purposes other than meeting the financial accounting requirements of GASB may differ significantly from the results reported here.

Calculations are based on the benefits provided under the terms of the substantive plan in effect at the time of the valuation and on the pattern of sharing costs between the employer and plan members. The projection of benefits does not incorporate the potential effect of legal or contractual funding limitations on the pattern of cost sharing between the employer and plan members in the future.

Actuarial calculations reflect a long-term perspective, and the methods and assumptions use techniques designed to reduce short term volatility in accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, if any.

The calculation of an accounting obligation does not, in and of itself, imply that there is any legal liability to provide the benefits valued, nor is there any implication that the Employer is required to implement a funding policy to satisfy the projected expense.

Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future, and the actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

# SECTION 2: Valuation Results for the Nashoba Regional School District December 31, 2009 Measurement under GASB 

The key results and significant assumptions for the current year are shown on a funded basis and a pay-as-you-go basis.

## SUMMARY OF VALUATION RESULTS

## ALL DEPARTMENTS

|  | Funded (8.5\% interest rate) | Pay-as-you-go (5.0\% interest rate) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability by Participant Category |  |  |
| 1. Current retirees, beneficiaries and dependents | \$16,001,072 | \$23,372,259 |
| 2. Current active employees | 25,485,592 | 51,747,816 |
| 3. Total as of December 31, 2009: $(1)+(2)$ | \$41,486,664 | \$75,120,075 |
| 4. Actuarial value of assets as of December 31, 2009 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) as of December 31, 2009: (3) - (4) | \$41,486,664 | \$75,120,075 |
| Annual Required Contribution for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2010 |  |  |
| 6. Normal cost as of December 31, 2009 | \$1,558,274 | \$3,671,853 |
| 7. 30-year amortization (increasing at $4.5 \%$ per year) of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) as of December 31, 2009 | 2,262,647 | 2,681,161 |
| 8. Total Annual Required Contribution (ARC): (6) + (7) | \$3,820,921 | \$6,353,014 |
| 9. Projected net benefit payments for fiscal year ending June 30, 2010 | 1,041,641 | 1,041,641 |

Note: Assumes payment in the middle of the fiscal year.

# SECTION 2: Valuation Results for the Nashoba Regional School District December 31, 2009 Measurement under 

 GASBPROJECTION OF ARC
30 Years Open (5.0\% discount rate), payments increasing at 4.5\%

| (1) <br> Fiscal Year Ended June 30 | (2) <br> Projected Benefit Payments | (3) <br> Normal Cost | (4) <br> Amortization of UAAL | (5) ARC: $(3)+(4)$ | (6) <br> Additional Funding: $(5)-(2)$ | (7) <br> Assets at End of Year | (8) <br> AAL at End of Year | (9) <br> UAAL at End of Year: $(8)-(7)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | \$1,041,641 | \$3,671,853 | \$2,681,161 | \$6,353,014 | \$0 | \$0 | \$79,670,337 | \$79,670,337 |
| 2011 | 1,198,680 | 3,855,446 | 2,913,789 | 6,769,235 | - | - | 86,376,228 | 86,376,228 |
| 2012 | 1,423,807 | 4,048,218 | 3,159,044 | 7,207,262 | - | - | 93,384,261 | 93,384,261 |
| 2013 | 1,671,153 | 4,250,629 | 3,415,349 | 7,665,978 | - | - | 100,696,651 | 100,696,651 |
| 2014 | 1,937,304 | 4,463,160 | 3,682,786 | 8,145,946 | - | - | 108,319,716 | 108,319,716 |
| 2015 | 2,225,163 | 4,686,318 | 3,961,585 | 8,647,903 | - | - | 116,257,636 | 116,257,636 |
| 2016 | 2,537,190 | 4,920,634 | 4,251,899 | 9,172,533 | - | - | 124,512,821 | 124,512,821 |
| 2017 | 2,865,572 | 5,166,666 | 4,553,816 | 9,720,482 | - | - | 133,096,382 | 133,096,382 |
| 2018 | 3,202,477 | 5,424,999 | 4,867,743 | 10,292,742 | - | - | 142,028,609 | 142,028,609 |
| 2019 | 3,548,481 | 5,696,249 | 5,194,422 | 10,890,671 | - | - | 151,330,847 | 151,330,847 |
| 2020 | 3,819,645 | 5,981,062 | 5,534,634 | 11,515,696 | - | - | 161,112,182 | 161,112,182 |
| 2021 | 4,010,627 | 6,280,115 | 5,892,367 | 12,172,482 | - | - | 171,493,323 | 171,493,323 |
| 2022 | 4,211,159 | 6,594,120 | 6,272,038 | 12,866,158 | - | - | 182,509,799 | 182,509,799 |
| 2023 | 4,421,717 | 6,923,826 | 6,674,944 | 13,598,770 | - | - | 194,199,188 | 194,199,188 |
| 2024 | 4,642,802 | 7,270,018 | 7,102,461 | 14,372,479 | - | - | 206,601,242 | 206,601,242 |
| 2025 | 4,874,943 | 7,633,519 | 7,556,042 | 15,189,561 | - | - | 219,758,004 | 219,758,004 |
| 2026 | 5,118,690 | 8,015,195 | 8,037,225 | 16,052,420 | - | - | 233,713,938 | 233,713,938 |
| 2027 | 5,374,624 | 8,415,954 | 8,547,636 | 16,963,590 | - | - | 248,516,071 | 248,516,071 |
| 2028 | 5,643,355 | 8,836,752 | 9,088,996 | 17,925,748 | - | - | 264,214,133 | 264,214,133 |
| 2029 | 5,925,523 | 9,278,590 | 9,663,122 | 18,941,712 | - | - | 280,860,710 | 280,860,710 |
| 2030 | 6,221,799 | 9,742,519 | 10,271,939 | 20,014,458 | - | - | 298,511,410 | 298,511,410 |
| 2031 | 6,532,889 | 10,229,645 | 10,917,479 | 21,147,124 | - | - | 317,225,028 | 317,225,028 |
| 2032 | 6,859,534 | 10,741,127 | 11,601,894 | 22,343,021 | - | - | 337,063,729 | 337,063,729 |
| 2033 | 7,202,510 | 11,278,184 | 12,327,456 | 23,605,640 | - | - | 358,093,238 | 358,093,238 |
| 2034 | 7,562,636 | 11,842,093 | 13,096,569 | 24,938,662 | - | - | 380,383,038 | 380,383,038 |
| 2035 | 7,940,768 | 12,434,198 | 13,911,776 | 26,345,974 | - | - | 404,006,585 | 404,006,585 |
| 2036 | 8,337,806 | 13,055,907 | 14,775,762 | 27,831,669 | - | - | 429,041,530 | 429,041,530 |
| 2037 | 8,754,696 | 13,708,703 | 15,691,366 | 29,400,069 | - | - | 455,569,952 | 455,569,952 |
| 2038 | 9,192,431 | 14,394,138 | 16,661,592 | 31,055,730 | - | - | 483,678,613 | 483,678,613 |
| 2039 | 9,652,053 | 15,113,845 | 17,689,612 | 32,803,457 | - | - | 513,459,215 | 513,459,215 |

Note: Assumes payment in the middle of the fiscal year.

# SECTION 2: Valuation Results for the Nashoba Regional School District December 31, 2009 Measurement under 

 GASBFUNDING SCHEDULE
30 Years Closed (8.50\% discount rate), payments increasing at 4.5\%

| (1) | (2) |  |  | (5) | (6) |  | (8) | (9) <br> UAAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year | Projected |  | (4) | Total Funding | Additional | (7) | AAL | at End of |
| Ended | Benefit | (3) | Amortization | Requirement: | Funding: | Assets at | at End of | Year: |
| June 30 | Payments | Normal Cost | of UAAL | (3) $+(4)$ | (5) - (2) | End of Year | Year | (8) - (7) |
| 2010 | \$1,041,641 | \$1,558,274 | \$2,262,647 | \$3,820,921 | \$2,779,281 | \$2,894,991 | \$43,752,035 | \$40,857,044 |
| 2011 | 1,198,680 | 1,636,188 | 2,364,466 | 4,000,654 | 2,801,974 | 6,059,695 | 47,926,680 | 41,866,986 |
| 2012 | 1,423,807 | 1,717,997 | 2,470,867 | 4,188,864 | 2,765,058 | 9,454,945 | 52,306,887 | 42,851,942 |
| 2013 | 1,671,153 | 1,803,897 | 2,582,056 | 4,385,953 | 2,714,800 | 13,086,442 | 56,891,243 | 43,804,801 |
| 2014 | 1,937,304 | 1,894,092 | 2,698,249 | 4,592,341 | 2,655,037 | 16,964,364 | 61,681,988 | 44,717,624 |
| 2015 | 2,225,163 | 1,988,796 | 2,819,670 | 4,808,466 | 2,583,303 | 21,097,190 | 66,678,749 | 45,581,559 |
| 2016 | 2,537,190 | 2,088,236 | 2,946,555 | 5,034,791 | 2,497,602 | 25,492,036 | 71,878,798 | 46,386,762 |
| 2017 | 2,865,572 | 2,192,648 | 3,079,150 | 5,271,798 | 2,406,226 | 30,165,265 | 77,287,556 | 47,122,291 |
| 2018 | 3,202,477 | 2,302,280 | 3,217,712 | 5,519,992 | 2,317,515 | 35,143,313 | 82,919,324 | 47,776,010 |
| 2019 | 3,548,481 | 2,417,394 | 3,362,509 | 5,779,903 | 2,231,422 | 40,454,819 | 88,789,289 | 48,334,469 |
| 2020 | 3,819,645 | 2,538,264 | 3,513,822 | 6,052,086 | 2,232,441 | 46,218,864 | 95,001,649 | 48,782,785 |
| 2021 | 4,010,627 | 2,665,177 | 3,671,944 | 6,337,121 | 2,326,494 | 52,570,821 | 101,675,324 | 49,104,503 |
| 2022 | 4,211,159 | 2,798,436 | 3,837,181 | 6,635,617 | 2,424,459 | 59,564,737 | 108,846,187 | 49,281,450 |
| 2023 | 4,421,717 | 2,938,358 | 4,009,854 | 6,948,212 | 2,526,495 | 67,259,422 | 116,552,997 | 49,293,575 |
| 2024 | 4,642,802 | 3,085,276 | 4,190,297 | 7,275,573 | 2,632,770 | 75,718,854 | 124,837,631 | 49,118,776 |
| 2025 | 4,874,943 | 3,239,540 | 4,378,860 | 7,618,400 | 2,743,457 | 85,012,633 | 133,745,339 | 48,732,706 |
| 2026 | 5,118,690 | 3,401,517 | 4,575,909 | 7,977,426 | 2,858,736 | 95,216,462 | 143,325,028 | 48,108,566 |
| 2027 | 5,374,624 | 3,571,593 | 4,781,825 | 8,353,418 | 2,978,793 | 106,412,672 | 153,629,558 | 47,216,886 |
| 2028 | 5,643,355 | 3,750,172 | 4,997,007 | 8,747,179 | 3,103,824 | 118,690,795 | 164,716,068 | 46,025,273 |
| 2029 | 5,925,523 | 3,937,681 | 5,221,872 | 9,159,553 | 3,234,030 | 132,148,186 | 176,646,330 | 44,498,145 |
| 2030 | 6,221,799 | 4,134,565 | 5,456,856 | 9,591,421 | 3,369,621 | 146,890,691 | 189,487,135 | 42,596,444 |
| 2031 | 6,532,889 | 4,341,293 | 5,702,415 | 10,043,708 | 3,510,819 | 163,033,386 | 203,310,702 | 40,277,316 |
| 2032 | 6,859,534 | 4,558,358 | 5,959,024 | 10,517,382 | 3,657,848 | 180,701,360 | 218,195,130 | 37,493,770 |
| 2033 | 7,202,510 | 4,786,276 | 6,227,180 | 11,013,456 | 3,810,945 | 200,030,583 | 234,224,886 | 34,194,302 |
| 2034 | 7,562,636 | 5,025,589 | 6,507,403 | 11,532,992 | 3,970,356 | 221,168,838 | 251,491,329 | 30,322,490 |
| 2035 | 7,940,768 | 5,276,869 | 6,800,236 | 12,077,105 | 4,136,337 | 244,276,736 | 270,093,286 | 25,816,549 |
| 2036 | 8,337,806 | 5,540,712 | 7,106,247 | 12,646,959 | 4,309,153 | 269,528,816 | 290,137,669 | 20,608,852 |
| 2037 | 8,754,696 | 5,817,748 | 7,426,028 | 13,243,776 | 4,489,079 | 297,114,741 | 311,740,147 | 14,625,406 |
| 2038 | 9,192,431 | 6,108,635 | 7,760,199 | 13,868,834 | 4,676,403 | 327,240,591 | 335,025,875 | 7,785,284 |
| 2039 | 9,652,053 | 6,414,067 | 8,109,408 | 14,523,475 | 4,871,422 | 360,130,277 | 360,130,277 | , |

Note: Assumes payment in the middle of the fiscal year.

## SECTION 2: Valuation Results for the Nashoba Regional School District December 31, 2009 Measurement under GASB

DEPARTMENT RESULTS

| Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) and Annual Required Contribution - Funded (8.50\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Other | Teachers | Total |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability by Participant Category |  |  |  |
| 1. Current retirees, beneficiaries and dependents | \$8,465,929 | \$7,535,143 | \$16,001,072 |
| 2. Current active employees | 8,398,274 | 17,087,318 | 25,485,592 |
| 3. Total as of December 31, 2009: $(1)+(2)$ | \$16,864,203 | \$24,622,461 | \$41,486,664 |
| 4. Actuarial value of assets as of December 31, 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) as of December 31, 2009: (3) - (4) | \$16,864,203 | \$24,622,461 | \$41,486,664 |
| Annual Required Contribution for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2010 |  |  |  |
| 6. Normal cost as of December 31, 2009 | \$635,385 | \$922,889 | \$1,558,274 |
| 7. 30 -year amortization (increasing at $4.5 \%$ per year) of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) as of December 31, 2009 | 919,759 | 1,342,888 | 2,262,647 |
| 8. Total Annual Required Contribution (ARC): (6) + (7) | \$1,555,144 | \$2,265,777 | \$3,820,921 |
| 9. Projected net benefit payments for fiscal year ending June 30, 2010 | 539,586 | 502,055 | 1,041,641 |

Note: Assumes payment in the middle of the fiscal year.

## SECTION 2: Valuation Results for the Nashoba Regional School District December 31, 2009 Measurement under

 GASB| Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) and Annual Required Contribution - Pay-As-You-Go (5.00\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Other | Teachers | Total |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability by Participant Category |  |  |  |
| 1. Current retirees, beneficiaries and dependents | \$12,302,921 | \$11,069,338 | \$23,372,259 |
| 2. Current active employees | 16,668,655 | 35,079,161 | 51,747,816 |
| 3. Total as of December 31, 2009: $(1)+(2)$ | \$28,971,576 | \$46,148,499 | \$75,120,075 |
| 4. Actuarial value of assets as of December 31, 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) as of December 31, 2009: (3) - (4) | \$28,971,576 | \$46,148,499 | \$75,120,075 |
| Annual Required Contribution for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2010 |  |  |  |
| 6. Normal cost as of December 31, 2009 | \$1,393,492 | \$2,278,361 | \$3,671,853 |
| 7. 30 -year amortization (increasing at $4.5 \%$ per year) of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) as of December 31, 2009 | 1,034,044 | 1,647,117 | 2,681,161 |
| 8. Total Annual Required Contribution (ARC): $(6)+(7)$ | \$2,427,536 | \$3,925,478 | \$6,353,014 |
| 9. Projected net benefit payments for fiscal year ending June 30, 2010 | 539,586 | 502,055 | 1,041,641 |

Note: Assumes payment in the middle of the fiscal year.

# SECTION 2: Valuation Results for the Nashoba Regional School District December 31, 2009 Measurement under GASB 

## ACTUARIAL CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that The Segal Company has conducted an actuarial valuation of certain benefit obligations of the Nashoba Regional School District other postemployment benefit programs as of December 31, 2009, in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. The actuarial calculations presented in this report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of GASB Statements Number 43 and 45 for the determination of the liability for postemployment benefits other than pensions.
The actuarial valuation is based on the plan of benefits verified by the School District and on participant, premium and expense data provided by the School District or from vendors employed by the School District.
The actuarial computations made are for purposes of fulfilling plan accounting requirements. Determinations for purposes other than meeting financial accounting requirements may be significantly different from the results reported here. Accordingly, additional determinations may be needed for other purposes, such as judging benefit security at termination or adequacy of funding an ongoing plan.
To the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate and in our opinion presents the information necessary to comply with GASB Statements Number 43 and 45 with respect to the benefit obligations addressed. The signing actuaries are members of the Society of Actuaries, the American Academy of Actuaries, and other professional actuarial organizations and collectively meet their "General Qualification Standards for Statements of Actuarial Opinion" to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.


Howard Atkinson, Jr., ASA, MAAA, FCA
Vice President and Health Actuary

SECTION 3: Valuation Details for the Nashoba Regional School District December 31, 2009 Measurement under GASB

## CHART 1

Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of Employer Contributions

| Fiscal Year <br> Ended June 30, | Annual <br> OPEB Cost | Actual <br> Contributions | Percentage <br> Contributed |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $\$ 4,118,287$ | $\$ 999,497$ | $24.3 \%$ |
| 2010 | $6,394,890$ | $1,041,641$ | $16.3 \%$ |
| 2011 | $6,882,988$ | $1,198,680$ | $17.4 \%$ |

Note: 2011 information assumes there will be no plan changes that need to be reflected.

## SECTION 3: Valuation Details for the Nashoba Regional School District December 31, 2009 Measurement under GASB

This schedule of funding progress presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

## CHART 2

Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of Funding Progress

| Actuarial Valuation Date | Actuarial Value of Assets* (a) | Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) <br> (b) | Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b) - (a) | Funded Ratio <br> (a) I (b) | Covered Payroll <br> (c) | UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll $[(b)-(a) /(c)]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/31/2006 | \$0 | \$49,752,457 | \$49,752,457 | 0\% | N/A | N/A |
| 12/31/2009 | 0 | 75,120,075 | 75,120,075 | 0\% | -- | -- |

Note: Entered covered payroll for fiscal 2010.

## CHART 3

Required Supplementary Information - Net OPEB Obligation (NOO)

| Fiscal Year Ended June 30, | Annual Required Contribution (a) | Interest on Existing NOO (b) | ARC Adjustment <br> (c) | Annual OPEB Cost $(\mathrm{a})+(\mathrm{b})+(\mathrm{c})$ <br> (d) | Actual Contribution Amount (e) | Net Increase in NOO (d) - (e) (f) | NOO as of Following Date (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | \$4,118,287 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,118,287 | \$999,497 | \$3,118,790 | \$3,118,790 |
| 2010 | 6,353,014 | 155,940 | $(114,064)$ | 6,394,890 | 1,041,641 | 5,353,250 | 8,472,040 |
| 2011 | 6,769,235 | 423,602 | $(309,849)$ | 6,882,988 | 1,198,680 | 5,684,308 | 14,156,348 |
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## CHART 4

## Required Supplementary Information

| Valuation date | December 31, 2009 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actuarial cost method | Projected Unit Credit |
| Amortization method | Payments increasing at $4.5 \%$ |
| Remaining amortization period | 30 years open |
| Asset valuation method | Market value |
| Actuarial assumptions: |  |
| Investment rate of return | $5.0 \%$, pay-as-you-go scenario |
| Inflation rate | $4.5 \%$ |
| Medicare cost trend rate | $9.0 \%$ decreasing by $0.75 \%$ for 5 years and by $0.25 \%$ for 1 year to an |
|  | ultimate level of 5.0\% per year |
| Part B Premium | $6.0 \%$ |
| Dental | $5.0 \%$ |
| Plan membership: |  |
| Current retirees, beneficiaries, and dependents | 146 |
| Current active members | $\underline{357}$ |
| Total | 503 |
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This exhibit summarizes the participant data used for the current valuation.

| EXHIBIT I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of Participant Data |  |  |
|  | As of January 1, |  |
|  | 2010 | 2007 |
| Active employees covered for medical benefits |  |  |
| Number of employees |  |  |
| Male | 89 | 87 |
| Female | 268 | $\underline{250}$ |
| Total | 357 | 337 |
| Average age | 46.4 | 46.1 |
| Average service | 9.9 | 10.4 |
| Retired employees, spouses and beneficiaries covered for medical benefits |  |  |
| Number of individuals | 146 | 128 |
| Average age | 67.0 | 67.7 |
| Retired employees with life insurance coverage |  |  |
| Number of individuals | 75 | 84 |
| Average age | 67.9 | 67.9 |
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## EXHIBIT II

## Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method

| Data: | Detailed census data, premium rates, and summary plan descriptions for <br> postemployment welfare benefits were provided by the School District. <br> Projected Unit Credit |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actuarial Cost Method: | Per capita claims costs were based on the insured premium rates effective <br> September 1, 2010. |
| Medical and Drug, Dental | For non-Medicare medical plans, premiums were combined by taking a weighted <br> average based on the number of participants in each plan, and were then trended to the <br> midpoint of the valuation year at assumed trend rates. Actuarial factors were then <br> applied to the premium to estimate individual retiree and spouse costs by age and by <br> gender. |
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| Measurement Date: | December 31, 2009 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Discount Rate: | $5.00 \%$ pay-as-you-go, $8.50 \%$ funded |
| Mortality Rates: |  |
| Pre-Retirement (Non-Teachers) | RP-2000 Healthy Employee Mortality Table |
| Healthy (Non-Teachers) | RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table |
| Disabled (Non-Teachers) | RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table set forward 2 years |
| Pre-Retirement (Teachers) | RP-2000 Healthy Employee Mortality Table projected 10 years with Scale AA |
| Healthy (Teachers) | RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table projected 10 years with Scale AA |
| Disabled (Teachers) | RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table set forward 3 years for males |

Note: $55 \%$ of the disability rates shown represent accidental death and disability.

| Teachers - Rate (\%) <br> Mortality |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Male | Female | Disability |
| 20 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| 25 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 30 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 35 | 0.07 | 0,04 | 0.01 |
| 40 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
| 45 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.03 |
| 50 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.05 |
| 55 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.08 |
| 60 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.10 |
| Note: $35 \%$ of the disability rates shown represent accidental disability. |  |  |  |
| $55 \%$ of the death rates shown represent accidental death. |  |  |  |

$55 \%$ of the death rates shown represent accidental death.
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## Withdrawal Rates:

Rate per year (\%)
Groups 1 and 2
(excluding Teachers)

| 0 | 15.0 |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 12.0 |
| 2 | 10.0 |
| 3 | 9.0 |
| 4 | 8.0 |
| 5 | 7.6 |
| 6 | 7.5 |
| 7 | 6.7 |
| 8 | 6.3 |
| 9 | 5.9 |
| 10 | 5.4 |
| 11 | 5.0 |
| 12 | 4.6 |
| 13 | 4.1 |
| 14 | 3.7 |
| 15 | 3.3 |
| $16-20$ | 2.0 |
| $21-29$ | 1.0 |
| $30+$ | 0.0 |


|  | Teachers - Rate (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 Years of Service |  | 5 - 9 Years of Service |  | 10+ Years of Service |  |
| Age | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 20 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 |
| 30 | 11.4 | 12.0 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 |
| 40 | 9.7 | 11.0 | 5.4 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 2.9 |
| 50 | 10.0 | 8.2 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 |

Previous assumption:

|  | Teachers - Withdrawal Rate (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-4$ Years of Service |  | $5-9$ Years of Service |  | 10+ Years of Service |  |
| Age | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 20 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 |
| 30 | 10.8 | 11.6 | 4.3 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 |
| 40 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 4.9 | 7.0 | 1.5 | 3.1 |
| 50 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
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## Retirement Rates:

| Age | Rate per year (\%) <br> Groups 1 and 2 (excluding Teachers) <br> Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $50-51$ | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| 52 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 53 | 1.0 | 2.5 |
| 54 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| 55 | 2.0 | 5.5 |
| $56-57$ | 2.5 | 6.5 |
| 58 | 5.0 | 6.5 |
| 59 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| 60 | 12.0 | 5.0 |
| 61 | 20.0 | 13.0 |
| 62 | 30.0 | 15.0 |
| 63 | 25.0 | 12.5 |
| 64 | 22.0 | 18.0 |
| 65 | 40.0 | 15.0 |
| $66-67$ | 25.0 | 20.0 |
| 68 | 30.0 | 25.0 |
| 69 | 30.0 | 20.0 |
| 70 | 100.0 | 100.0 |


|  | Teachers - Rate (\%) <br> Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Less than 20 | $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{- 2 9}$ |  | 30 or more |  |  |
| $50-53$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 54 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 55 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 56 | 8.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 20.0 | 15.0 |
| 57 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 35.0 | 30.0 |
| 58 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 50.0 | 35.0 |
| 59 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 11.0 | 50.0 | 35.0 |
| 60 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 16.0 | 50.0 | 35.0 |
| 61 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 35.0 |
| 62 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| 63 | 30.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 |
| 64 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 35.0 |
| 65 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 35.0 |
| 66 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 35.0 |
| 67 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 |
| 68 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 |
| 69 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 |
| 70 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
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Previous assumption:

|  | Teachers - Rate (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Less than 20 | $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{- \mathbf { 2 9 }}$ |  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ or more |  |
| $50-53$ | 0.0 | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 54 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 55 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 56 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 57 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| 58 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 |
| 59 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| 60 | 12.0 | 20.0 | 16.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| 61 | 15.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| 62 | 18.0 | 35.0 | 25.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| 63 | 15.0 | 35.0 | 25.0 | 35.0 | 25.0 |
| 64 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 65 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 |
| 66 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 67 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 |
| 68 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 35.0 | 30.0 | 35.0 |
| 69 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 35.0 | 40.0 | 35.0 |
| 70 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Dependents:
Demographic data was available for spouses of current retirees. For future retirees, husbands were assumed to be three years older than their wives, $70 \%$ were assumed to have an eligible spouse who also opts for health coverage at that time (previously, $85 \%$ of male employees and $45 \%$ of female employees).

SECTION 4: Supporting Information for the Nashoba Regional School District December 31, 2009 Measurement under GASB


| Health Care Cost Trend Rates: | Health care trend measures the anticipated overall rate at which health plan costs are expected to increase in future years. The rates shown below are "net" and are applied to the net per capita costs shown above. The trend shown for a particular plan year is the rate that must be applied to that year's cost to yield the next year's projected cost. <br> Year Ending <br> Non-Medicare December 31 Dental Part B |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 9.00\% | 5\% | 6\% |
|  | 2011 | 8.25\% | 5\% | 6\% |
|  | 2012 | 7.50\% | 5\% | 6\% |
|  | 2013 | 6.75\% | 5\% | 6\% |
|  | 2014 | 6.00\% | 5\% | 6\% |
|  | 2015 | 5.25\% | 5\% | 6\% |
|  | 2016 \& later | 5.00\% | 5\% | 6\% |

## Retiree Contribution Increase Rate: Retiree contributions are expected to increase with trend.

Health Care Reform Assumption: The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (HCERA) of 2010 creates a temporary reinsurance program for eligible health care coverage for pre-Medicare retirees over age 55. The program will reimburse the plan sponsor for $80 \%$ of the retiree claims between $\$ 15,000$ and $\$ 90,000$. Due to the short nature of this program, the limited financing, and uncertainty of qualifying and receiving payment (the program will be on a first come first served basis until financing runs out), we have not reflected the value of this program in the valuation.
Participation and Coverage Election: $100 \%$ of active employees with coverage are assumed to elect retiree coverage.
$100 \%$ of retirees over age 65 are assumed to remain in their current medical plan for life.

For future retirees and current retires under age 65, $30 \%$ are assumed to enroll in the Medicare Supplement plan upon reaching age 65 and $70 \%$ are assumed to remain enrolled in a non-Medicare plan.
$100 \%$ of current and future retirees with medical coverage are assumed to have life insurance coverage.
$80 \%$ of current and future retirees are assumed to have dental coverage.
Life insurance elections were available for current retirees. $75 \%$ of future retirees with medical coverage are assumed to have life insurance coverage.

## Plan Design:

## Administrative Expenses

## Annual Maximum Benefits:

Lifetime Maximum Benefits:

## Missing Participant Data:

## Assumption Changes

 Since Prior Valuation:Development of plan liabilities was based on the substantive plan of benefits in effect as described in Exhibit III.
Administrative expenses are assumed to be included in the fully insured premium rates.
No increase in the annual maximum benefit levels was assumed.
No information was available regarding accumulations toward lifetime maximum benefits and no such accumulations were assumed.
A missing census item for a given participant was assumed to equal the average value of that item over all other participants of the same status for whom the item is known.

The per capita health care costs were updated to reflect current experience.
The medical/drug trends were updated to better reflect current experience and future expectations.
The percent married assumption was changed from $80 \%$ of males and $45 \%$ of females to $70 \%$ of all future retirees are assumed to have an eligible spouse who opts for health coverage.
Certain demographic assumptions were changed to be consistent with the most recent valuation of the Massachusetts State Teachers Retirement System Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2008.
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## EXHIBIT III

## Summary of Plan

This exhibit summarizes the major benefit provisions as included in the valuation. To the best of our knowledge, the summary represents the substantive plans as of the measurement date. It is not intended to be, nor should it be interpreted as, a complete statement of all benefit provisions.

| Eligibility: | The following groups of retirees receiving a pension from the Worcester Regional <br> Retirement System or the Massachusetts Teachers Retirement System are eligible for <br> postretirement medical benefits: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Group 1 (including Teachers): |
|  | $>$ Retirees with at least 10 years of creditable service are eligible at age 55; |
|  | Disability: Retirees on Ordinary (non-job-related) or Accidental (job-related) |
|  | Disability Retirement are eligible at any age. Ordinary disability retirement requires |
| 10 years of creditable service. |  |
|  | Pre-Retirement Death: Surviving spouse is eligible. |
|  | Post-Retirement Death: Surviving spouse is eligible. |
| Benefit Types: | Medical and prescription drug benefits are provided to all eligible retirees through a |
|  | variety of plans offered through Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts. An |
|  | Optional Medicare Extension (OME) plan is available to retirees eligible for |
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## Retiree Contributions:

Current premium rates and retiree contributions are summarized below:

| Subscribers | Retirees 65 <br> and over* | Monthly Premium <br> (eff. 9/1/2010) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Retiree | Total |  |

## School District Cost <br> Retiree cost

Retiree Cost \%
Non-Medicare Actives and Retirees

| HMO Blue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individual | 79 | 33 | 112 | 23 | \$537.12 | \$268.56 | \$268.56 | 50.0\% |
| Family | 202 | 23 | 225 | 12 | \$1,428.91 | \$714.46 | \$714.46 | 50.0\% |
| Blue Choice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual | 14 | 11 | 25 | 6 | \$664.27 | \$332.14 | \$332.14 | 50.0\% |
| Family | 43 | 9 | 52 | 5 | \$1,742.48 | \$871.24 | \$871.24 | 50.0\% |
| Blue Care Elect PPO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual | 7 | 11 | 18 | 8 | \$683.35 | \$341.68 | \$341.68 | 50.0\% |
| Family | 12 | 4 | 16 | 1 | \$1,792.54 | \$896.27 | \$896.27 | 50.0\% |
| Non-Medicare Total | 357 | 91 | 448 | 55 |  |  |  |  |
| Subscribers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Active | Retiree | Total |  | Monthly Premium (eff. 9/1/2010) | School District Cost | Retiree cost | Retiree Cost \% |
| Medicare Supplement Plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Optional Medicare Extension | N/A | 12 | 12 |  | \$520.00 | \$260.00 | \$260.00 | 50.0\% |
| Medicare Total |  | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retiree Total*** |  | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* 55 of 67 over-65 retirees are in a non-Medicare plan.
** In addition, there are 43 spouses of retirees covered under an individual or family policy.


## Benefit Descriptions:

| BCBS HMO Blue |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Medical |  |
| Annual Deductible | None |
| Coinsurance | $100 \%$ |
| Physicians Office Visit Copay | $\$ 10$ |
| Emergency Room Copay | $\$ 25$ |
| Maximum Out-Of-Pocket Expense | None |
| Prescription Drugs |  |
| Retail Copay | \$10 Tier 1/\$20 Tier 2/\$35 Tier 3 |
| Mail Copay | \$10 Tier 1/\$20 Tier 2/\$35 Tier 3 |


| BCBS Blue Care Elect Preferred PPO | In-Network | Out-of-Network |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Medical |  |  |
| Annual Deductible | None | $\$ 250$ individual/\$500 family |
| Coinsurance | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Physicians Office Visit Copay | $\$ 15$ | Coinsurance \& deductible |
| Emergency Room Copay | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 50$ (no deductible) |
| Coinsurance Maximum | None | $\$ 1,000$ individual/\$2,000 family |
| Prescription Drugs |  |  |
| Retail Copay | $\$ 10$ Tier 1/\$20 Tier 2/\$35 Tier 3 |  |
| Mail Copay | \$10 Tier 1/\$20 Tier 2/\$35 Tier 3 |  |


| BCBS Blue Choice | PCP-Approved | Self-Referred |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Medical |  |  |
| Annual Deductible | None | $\$ 250$ individual/\$500 family |
| Coinsurance | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Physicians Office Visit Copay | $\$ 10$ | Coinsurance $\&$ deductible |
| Emergency Room Copay | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 25$ (no deductible) |
| Coinsurance Maximum | None | $\$ 1,000$ individual/\$2,000 family |
| Prescription Drugs |  |  |
| Retail Copay | $\$ 10$ Tier 1/\$20 Tier 2/\$35 Tier 3 |  |
| Mail Copay | $\$ 10$ Tier $1 / \$ 20$ Tier 2/\$35 Tier 3 |  |


| Optional Medicare Extension (OME) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Medical |  |
| Inpatient Hospital | Medicare Part A deductible and coinsurance |
| Outpatient and Physician Services | Medicare Part B deductible and coinsurance |
| Routine Care and Physical Exams | Not covered |
| Prescription Drugs |  |
| Generic Drugs Coinsurnace | $100 \%$ |
| Brand Drug Coinsurnace | $80 \%$ |
| Annual Deductible | $\$ 50$ |


| DeltaPreferred Option USA Plus |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Preventative Benefits | No deductible |
| Diagnostic/Preventative | Covered in full |
| Basic and Major Benefits | $\$ 50$ individual/\$150 family deductible |
| Restorative/Periodontics/Endodontics | $80 \%$ coverage |
| Prosthodontics/Major Restorative | $50 \%$ coverage |
| Benefit Maximum | $\$ 1,000$ per calendar year |

Retiree Life:

\$5,000
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[^0]:    Note: 2011 information assumes there will be no plan changes that need to be reflected.

